

Comment on 'Biparental mucus feeding: a unique example of parental care in an Amazonian cichlid'

Buckley and colleagues (Buckley et al., 2010) describe parental care in discus cichlids of the genus *Symphysodon*, demonstrate that immunoglobulin is present in the mucus secreted by breeding discus, and propose that discus might be an interesting novel model system for studies of mammal-like parental care.

Hildemann (Hildemann, 1959) appears to have been the first to describe parental care in discus, providing detailed analyses of the behaviours re-visited by Buckley and colleagues (Buckley et al., 2010). Parental investment is provided after fertilization of the eggs not just in discus but in all cichlids (*ca.* 1600 species), and many other families of fish (for reviews, see Blumer, 1979; Breder and Rosen, 1966; Gross and Sargent, 1985). In fact, parental care is exhibited in around 22% of teleost fish, and in 72% of non-teleost fish (Sargent and Gross, 1993). Sargent and Gross also review the literature and the proposed models that investigate the cost of reproduction and the parent-offspring conflict within fish (Sargent and Gross, 1993), citing early examples, just after the publication of Robert Trivers' theory (Carlisle, 1982; Presley, 1976; Trivers, 1974).

In most fish parental care involves fry guarding or provisioning; for example, breeding convict cichlids (species name) actively disturb the substrate using their pectoral fins to release micro-organisms for their fry to feed on, even though the parents themselves do not feed on such small prey items (Keenleyside, 1981; Krischik and Weber, 1975; Williams, 1972).

The highly developed bi-parental care and fry mucus-feeding behaviour observed in *Symphysodon* spp. is also widespread – at least 28 species have been reported to exhibit fry mucus-feeding behaviour in four families (Noakes, 1979), and this behaviour has evolved many times, in species separated on distant branches of the fish phylogeny, including the Osteoglossiforme *Arapaima gigas* (Liiling, 1964; Menezes, 1951).

Fry feeding on the mucus secreted onto the skin of their parents is called 'contacting' and has been studied in detail in Midas cichlids (*Amphilophus citrinellus*), orange chromides (*Etroplus maculatus*) and discus (*Symphysodon* spp.), in both the laboratory and the wild (Noakes, 1973; Noakes and Barlow, 1973; Ward and Barlow, 1967). The results of Buckley and colleagues (Buckley et al., 2010) on the role of the parents in this behaviour confirm earlier work on the Midas cichlid (*A. citrinellus*) (Schütz and Barlow, 1997).

Whilst it has been well documented that the fry of *Symphysodon* spp. are feeding on the mucus secreted by their parents (Chong et al., 2005; Noakes, 1979; Perrone and Zaret, 1979) [see also Hildemann (Hildemann, 1959) and references therein], it has also been shown that, in contrast to mammals, mucus is not the only meal they are getting. Critically, Bremer and Walter (Bremer and Walter, 1986) found that there are more secretocytes undergoing mitosis in breeding individuals of *S. discus* than in non-breeders and analysis of the faeces of the contacting fry showed that they were consuming these cells and other micro-organisms that are present on the skin of the adults.

However, one area in which the analogy may break down is in Buckley and colleagues' description of weaning (Buckley et al., 2010). The time at which the 'weaning' behaviour is proposed to occur coincides with the time when juvenile fish in the wild would naturally disperse from their parents. At this point in the breeding cycle, parental care has finished in the wild for most cichlids, including those that exhibit contacting behaviour (Noakes and Barlow, 1973). In fact, under aquarium conditions, if Midas cichlid (*A. citrinellus*) fry are left with their parents they eat from them so vigorously in the fourth week that they create wounds on the parental skin (Barlow, 2000). In the wild, such a situation would never occur,

as dispersal would have already separated the young fish from their parents (Barlow, 2000).

The most interesting result reported by Buckley and colleagues (Buckley et al., 2010) is that immunoglobulin concentrations are higher in breeding discus than non-breeding discus, and are highest in wild breeding individuals. This result is fascinating and opens up opportunities for future research. What we need to know now is whether this immunoglobulin is passed on to the contacting fry in the same concentrations as it is found in the mucus. If it is, how does the increased amount of immunoglobulin affect the growth and survival of these fry? Away from the immunoglobulin result, there remain other interesting questions for contacting behaviour. The secretocytes found on discus by Bremer and Walter (Bremer and Walter, 1986) appear to be specialized cells; are these types of cell present in other fish that are known to have contacting fry?

The case of parental care in fish is indeed a fascinating model system for answering a variety of questions about the cost of reproduction, and the parent-offspring conflict, and many investigations have already been conducted. It is my hope that modern technologies and techniques can help us to better understand these systems and their problems.

10.1242/jeb.053652

Acknowledgements

I thank Justine Aw and Adrian Thomas for helpful comments on the manuscript, and Andrea Flack for helping me understand the Bremer and Walter (Bremer and Walter, 1986) paper, which is written in German. I would also like to thank the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council for funding (BB/H01103X/1).

References

- Barlow, G. W. (2000). *The Cichlid Fishes*. New York: Basic Books.
- Blumer, L. S. (1979). Male parental care in the bony fishes. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **54**, 149-161.
- Breder, C. M. and Rosen, D. E. (1966). *Modes of Reproduction in Fishes*. Garden City, NY: Natural History Press.
- Bremer, H. and Walter, U. (1986). Histologische, ultrastrukturelle und topohemisehe Untersuchungen zur Brutpflege von *Symphysodon aequifasciatus* Pellegrin 1903. *Gegenbaurs morph. Jahrb. Leipzig* **132**, 183-194.
- Buckley, J., Maunder, R. J., Foey, A., Pearce, J., Val, A. L. and Sloman, K. (2010). Biparental mucus feeding: a unique example of parental care in an Amazonian cichlid. *J. Exp. Biol.* **213**, 3787-3795.
- Carlisle, T. R. (1982). Brood success in variable environments – implications for parental care allocation. *Anim. Behav.* **30**, 824-836.
- Chong, K., Ying, T. S., Foo, J., Jin, L. T. and Chong, A. (2005). Characterisation of proteins in epidermal mucus of discus fish (*Symphysodon* spp.) during parental phase. *Aquaculture* **249**, 469-476.
- Gross, M. R. and Sargent, R. C. (1985). The evolution of male and female parental care in fishes. *Am. Zool.* **25**, 807-822.
- Hildemann, W. H. (1959). A cichlid fish *Symphysodon discus*, with unique nurture habits. *Am. Zool.* **93**, 27-34.
- Keenleyside, M. H. A. (1981). Parental care patterns of fishes. *Am. Nat.* **117**, 1019-1022.
- Krischik, V. A. and Weber, P. G. (1975). Induced parental care in male convict cichlid fish. *Dev. Psychobiol.* **8**, 1-11.
- Liiling, K. H. (1964). Zur Biologie und Ökologie von *Arapaima gigas* (Pisces, Osteoglossidae). *Z. Morphol. Okol. Tiere* **54**, 436-530.
- Menezes, R. S. D. (1951). Notas biológicas e económicas sobre a pirarucu, *Arapaima gigas* (Cuvier) (Actinopterygii, Arapaimidae). *Ministerio Agricult. Ser. Estud. Tecnol.* **3**, 7-152.
- Noakes, D. L. G. (1973). Parental behavior and some histological features of scales in *Cichlasoma citrinellum* (Pisces, Cichlidae). *Can. J. Zool.* **51**, 619-622.
- Noakes, D. L. G. (1979). Parent-touching behavior by young fishes: incidence, function and causation. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* **4**, 389-400.
- Noakes, D. L. G. and Barlow, G. W. (1973). Ontogeny of parent-contacting in young *Cichlasoma citrinellum* (Pisces, Cichlidae). *Behaviour* **46**, 221-255.
- Perrone, M. and Zaret, T. M. (1979). Parental care patterns of fishes. *Am. Nat.* **113**, 351-361.
- Presley, P. H. (1976). Parental investment in the threespined stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. MSc thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada.

- Sargent, R. C. and Gross, J. B.** (1993). William's principle: an explanation of parental care in teleost fishes. In *Behaviour of Teleost Fishes* (ed. T. J. Pitcher), pp. 333-357. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Schütz, M. and Barlow, G. W.** (1997). Young of the Midas cichlid get biologically active nonnutrients by eating mucus from the surface of their parents. *Fish Physiol. Biochem.* **16**, 11-18.
- Trivers, R. L.** (1974). Parent-offspring conflict. *Am. Zool.* **14**, 249-264.
- Ward, J. A. and Barlow, G. W.** (1967). The maturation and regulation of glancing off the parents by young orange chromides (*Etoplus maculatus*: Pisces-Cichlidae). *Behaviour* **29**, 1-56.

- Williams, N. J.** (1972). On the ontogeny of behaviour of the cichlid fish *Cichlasoma nigrofasciatum* (Gunther). PhD thesis, University of Groningen, Holland.

Robert I. Holbrook

Animal Behaviour Research Group, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3PS, UK
robert.holbrook@zoo.ox.ac.uk

Response to "Comment on 'Biparental mucus feeding: a unique example of parental care in an Amazonian cichlid'"

Many models of parental investment consider offspring as passive participants where parents decide upon appropriate levels of investment. However, in 1974, Trivers introduced the theory of parent-offspring conflict, which views offspring as active participants soliciting investment from parents (Trivers, 1974). Although parent-offspring conflict in its broadest sense may apply to any sexually reproducing species showing parental investment (Trivers, 1974), in oviparous species, those that lay eggs, pre-parturition conflict between future offspring and parents is unlikely (Crespi and Semeniuk, 2004). Post-parturition conflict is often difficult to determine if parental care is confined to parental defence of offspring. The stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*, is an example of an oviparous species where females lay eggs and then males guard and fan the developing embryos. To our knowledge there is no concrete evidence in oviparous fish species that developing offspring are able to directly manipulate parental investment. There is clear potential for chemical communication between parents and offspring to occur post-parturition in oviparous fish species (e.g. Mourabit et al., 2010) but a role in parent-offspring conflict remains speculative. Certainly, many previous models of parental investment motivated by teleost fishes focus on factors that influence a parent's decision to invest in offspring only from the perspective of the parent (reviewed by Sargent and Gross, 1993) without active influence by offspring.

In *Symphysodon* (and some other species of cichlids) parents provide offspring with an opportunity to gain nutrition from parental mucus and offspring perform behaviours (known as contacting, micronipping, parent-touching) to maximise attainment of this food. While not all contacting behaviours in fish are trophic in function (Noakes, 1979), where nutrition is obtained through this behaviour, offspring have the potential to demand more investment than the parent is selected to give (Trivers, 1974). Mucus-feeding behaviour stands out as a situation where measurable parent-offspring conflict post-parturition occurs. Ongoing, unpublished experiments in our laboratory allow us to manipulate both parental investment and offspring demand during this period of conflict in *Symphysodon* spp. Only a few species of fish (<0.2%) perform such parental care behaviours where parent-offspring conflict can be studied post-parturition.

In the context of mucus feeding, 'mucus' is a term used to describe a secretion containing a variety of substances [e.g. Buckley et al. (Buckley et al., 2010) measured immunoglobulin, protein, hormones and ions] in the same way that mammalian 'milk' refers to a liquid containing fats, proteins, sugars, immunoglobulins and micro-organisms. Therefore, provision of fish 'mucus' as a form of parental care could be considered analogous to mammalian 'milk'. In lactating species, postnatal parental care can be divided into three periods according to the age of the offspring (Trivers, 1974). The last of these

periods is the weaning period where most contact between parent and offspring is initiated by the offspring, with parents showing open avoidance and even aggression towards offspring (Trivers, 1974). Only by studying the behaviour of both parent and offspring is it possible to determine whether a weaning conflict exists. Our study (Buckley et al., 2010) documents changes in parental care during the breeding period of *Symphysodon* and highlights that in week three, open avoidance by the parents can be observed in this species and offspring actively seek out their parents during this period. These observations of both parental and offspring behaviour are directly in line with Trivers' description of the third period of parental care in mammals (Trivers, 1974).

This fascinating behaviour seen in *Symphysodon* spp. certainly raises many questions. Currently we are investigating, among other things, whether parental immunoglobulins found in this mucus meal are measurable in discus fry. We have recently demonstrated that mucus of adult fish inhabiting metal-contaminated waters or eating a metal-contaminated diet contains measurable levels of contaminants that can be passed on to offspring through mucus feeding (R. J. Maunder, J.B., A.L.V. and K.A.S., unpublished results).

10.1242/jeb.053876

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr Sigal Balshine for comments on a previous draft of our Response.

References

- Buckley, J., Maunder, R. J., Foey, A., Pearce, J., Val, A. L. and Sloman, K. A.** (2010). Biparental mucus feeding: a unique example of parental care in an Amazonian cichlid. *J. Exp. Biol.* **213**, 3787-3795.
- Crespi, B. and Semeniuk, C.** (2004). Parent-offspring conflict in the evolution of vertebrate reproductive mode. *Am. Nat.* **163**, 635-653.
- Mourabit, S., Rundle, S. D., Spicer, J. I. and Sloman, K. A.** (2010). Alarm substance from adult zebrafish alters early embryonic development in offspring. *Biol. Lett.* **6**, 525-528.
- Noakes, D. L. G.** (1979). Parent-touching behaviour by young fishes: incidence, function and causation. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* **4**, 389-400.
- Sargent, R. C. and Gross, J. B.** (1993). William's principle: an explanation of parental care in teleost fishes. In *Behaviour of Teleost Fishes* (ed. T. J. Pitcher), pp. 335-361. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Trivers, R. L.** (1974). Parent-offspring conflict. *Am. Zool.* **14**, 249-264.

Jonathan Buckley^{1,*}, Adalberto Luis Val² and Katherine A. Sloman^{1,3}

¹School of Marine Science and Engineering, University of Plymouth, Plymouth PL4 8AA, UK, ²Department of Ecology, Laboratory of Ecophysiology and Molecular Evolution, INPA, Manaus, 69060-001, Brazil and ³School of Science, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley PA1 2BE, UK

*jonathan.buckley@plymouth.ac.uk