The aim of this study was to reveal the features of peptidergic and serotonergic modulatory mechanisms in an identified neuromuscular system of *Achatina fulica*. Recently, the modulation of muscle movement by peptide cotransmitters has been closely examined in some molluscan species (Buckett et al. 1990; Cropper et al. 1990; Weiss et al. 1992). A molecular genetic approach is also being used to investigate the physiological and evolutionary importance of the co-existence of multiple peptides in neuromuscular synapses (Linacre et al. 1990; Miller et al. 1993a, b). Neuronal activity-dependent release of a peptide modulator may enable a single neuron to exert a subtle regulation of muscle contraction in various circumstances. Such a mechanism may well be useful for animals, such as pulmonates and opisthobranchs, that have a relatively small number of central neurons involved in the control of their movements.

In the African giant snail *Achatina fulica*, many bioactive peptides have been isolated from various tissues, and the amino acid sequences of some of them have been determined (Fujimoto et al. 1990, 1991; Ikeda et al. 1992; Harada et al. 1993). Among them, *Achatina* cardioexcitatory peptide-1 (ACEP-1), an undecapeptide isolated from the atria, shows both cardioexcitatory activity and an enhancing effect on the contraction of several muscles, including the buccal muscles, in this snail (Fujimoto et al. 1990). Serotonergic modulation of buccal muscle movement has been widely studied in gastropod molluscs (Weiss et al. 1978, 1979; Granzow and Rowell, 1981; Zoran et al. 1989; Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1991). In the buccal motor system of *A. fulica*, serotonergic modulation of radula retractor contraction elicited by the identified cholinergic motoneuron B4 has been demonstrated (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1991). It has also been suggested that serotonin is involved in the control of the buccal motor rhythm in *A. fulica* (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1992). In this species, it has been suggested that the widely distributed innervation by the serotonergic cerebral neuron v-CDN has a role in raising the excitability of the feeding system overall (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1991, 1992).

The rhythmic motor pattern in the buccal mass of *A. fulica* can be divided into three phases; the radula protraction phase,
the radula retraction phase and an inactive phase. So far, several pairs of motoneurons that are active during the radula retraction phase have been identified and their mode of action has been analysed (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1991). In the present study, a pair of motoneurons, designated B10s, that are active during the protraction phase has been identified. It is suggested that these contain ACEP-1 in addition to the main transmitter, acetylcholine (ACh). The way in which radula protractor contraction is modulated by ACEP-1, as well as by serotonin, has been investigated physiologically.

**Materials and methods**

**Animals and physiology**

The African giant snails *Achatina fulica* Férussac used in this study were captured in Okinawa and transported to Hiroshima by air. The animals were reared in moist containers at 25˚C and fed on sweet potatoes.

For physiological experiments, the radula protractor was dissected from the animal with the buccal ganglia attached via buccal nerve 2 (see Fig. 1 of Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1991). The rostral part of the thin connective tissue sheath covering the ganglia was then surgically removed. The experimental chamber was separated into two compartments by a partition containing a slit through which buccal nerve 2 was passed. These two compartments, one for the ganglia and the other for the muscle, were perfused independently. The electrical activities of neurons and muscle fibres were recorded by means of conventional electrophysiological technique. Muscle tension was recorded through a force transducer connected to an amplifier. The recordings obtained were stored on FM tape for later analyses.

The physiological solution used in the experiments contained (in mmol·l⁻¹): NaCl, 61; KCl, 3.3; CaCl₂, 10.7; MgCl₂, 13; glucose, 5; Hepes, 10 (pH 7.5). To block the intraganglionic chemical synapses, the ganglia compartment was perfused with high-Mg²⁺ (3× normal), Ca²⁺-free solution. To block the polysynaptic connections, the preparation was perfused with high-Mg²⁺ (3× normal), high-Ca²⁺ (3× normal) solution.

All physiological experiments were performed at room temperature (20–25˚C).

**Immunocytochemistry**

**Light microscopy**

The buccal ganglia and the radula protractor were dissected from the animal. The radula protractor was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.1 mol·l⁻¹ sucrose. The ganglia were incubated in saline containing Protease (Sigma type IV, 12 mg·ml⁻¹ saline) for 1 h, then fixed in the above solution. Both the ganglia and the muscle were then processed in the same way, with all procedures being carried out at 4˚C. The fixed tissues were washed in PBS containing 1% Triton X-100 for 20 h and then incubated in a blocking solution (1% bovine serum albumin, 1% normal goat serum, 1% Triton X-100 and 0.05% NaN₃ in PBS) for 2 h. The tissues were incubated in a 1:1000 dilution of primary antibody (rabbit polyclonal antiserum raised against ACEP-1) for 72 h. They were washed in PBS for 24 h and then incubated with a 1:600–1000 dilution of Rhodamine-labeled secondary antibody for 12–24 h. The preparations were washed in PBS for 48 h and cleared in a 6:1 mixture of glycerol and PBS overnight. The preparations were viewed and photographed using a fluorescence microscope.

When PBS was substituted for the primary antibody, no structure was labeled by Rhodamine. In addition, when one half of the bilaterally symmetrical tissue was incubated in the primary antibody while the other half was treated with antibody preincubated with 10⁻⁴ mol·l⁻¹ ACEP-1 for 24 h at 4˚C, the fluorescent staining of the preincubated side was greatly reduced.

**Electron microscopy**

The radula protractor was dissected from the animal in high-Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺-free solution. The dissected muscle was fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde in PBS containing 0.1 mol·l⁻¹ sucrose for 3 h at room temperature. The fixed muscle was washed in 0.1 mol·l⁻¹ phosphate buffer (PB) for 2 h. The tissue was dehydrated and embedded in LR-White resin. The resin was then polymerized by ultraviolet illumination at −20˚C for 48–72 h. Ultra-thin sections were cut and plated on nickel mesh.

The sections were incubated with 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 1 h and then incubated with a 1:600 dilution of primary antibody raised against ACEP-1 for 6 h at room temperature. They were washed in PBS containing Tween-20 for 15 min and incubated with a 1:50 dilution of protein-A–gold (10 nm particle diameter) for 3 h at room temperature. They were washed in PBS containing Tween-20 for 15 min and refixed in 1% glutaraldehyde for 10 min. They were then electron-stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. The stained sections were viewed and photographed using a transmission electron microscope.

**Results**

**Protractor motoneuron B10**

A motoneuron innervating the radula protractor was identified from its position beneath a cluster of small cells located on the rostral surface of the buccal ganglion. This motoneuron was designated B10. The positions of the B10 motoneurons, as well as previously identified neurons in the buccal ganglia, are shown schematically in Fig. 1A. Fig. 1B shows a reconstruction of the morphology of B10 stained intracellularly with Lucifer Yellow. B10 has a single axon in n2, which innervates both the radula protractor and the radula retractor.

Fig. 2A shows an intracellular recording of spontaneous activity from B10 and an extracellular recording of activity in the radula protractor muscle. In addition to a 1:1 relationship
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between the muscle EJPs and B10 firing, two different types of EJP activity were observed in the muscle (Fig. 2A, arrows). The radula protractor thus appears to be innervated by at least three excitatory motoneurons. Fig. 2B shows intracellularly recorded EJPs in the radula protractor evoked by the firing of B10. These EJPs showed summation as the frequency of B10 firing was increased (Fig. 2Bi,ii), finally reaching a plateau (Fig. 2Biii). No action potential was recorded from the muscle fibers of the radula protractor in the course of these experiments.

To test the monosynapticity of the connection between B10 and the radula protractor, intraganglionic chemical synapses were blocked by perfusing the ganglia compartment with high-Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺-free solution. Such conditions did not affect the contraction of the radula protractor evoked by B10 firing (Fig. 2C), suggesting that B10 makes a monosynaptic connection onto the muscle.

Excitatory transmitter of B10

In a previous study, an identified motoneuron, B4, innervating the radula retractor was shown to be cholinergic (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1991). In the present series of experiments, we found that the protractor motoneuron B10 is also cholinergic. Perfusion of the muscle compartment with a cholinergic blocker, propantheline, at a concentration of 3×10⁻⁵ mol l⁻¹ completely blocked the B10-evoked protractor contraction (Fig. 3A). Partial recovery of the contraction was obtained after washing the muscle with saline. Fig. 3B shows the intracellularly recorded EJPs in the radula protractor evoked by B10 firing. The B10-evoked EJP in the radula protractor was also blocked by propantheline. In addition, contraction of the radula protractor induced by direct application of acetylcholine (ACh) to the muscle was blocked by perfusing the muscle with a solution containing 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹ propantheline (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, another cholinergic blocker, hexamethonium at a concentration of 10⁻⁴ mol l⁻¹, showed similar effects to those of propantheline in blocking the contraction and EJPs of the radula protractor elicited by B10 stimulation, although hexamethonium was less effective than propantheline (data not shown). These results suggest that the main excitatory transmitter of B10 is probably ACh.

Synaptic connection of B10

B10 was found to receive synaptic input from the identified buccal ganglionic neuron B1. B1 is a motoneuron of the radula retractor and it has been suggested that it is also involved in buccal rhythm generation (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1992). Firing of B1 evoked by depolarizing current injection induced compound postsynaptic potentials (PSPs) in B10 (Fig. 4A). The PSPs appeared to contain two components; fast inhibitory PSPs (IPSPs) and gradually rising depolarizing PSPs that overcame the IPSPs at the end of B1 stimulation. The fast IPSPs persisted when the ganglia were perfused with high-Mg²⁺, high-Ca²⁺ solution, which is known to block polysynaptic pathways by raising the spike threshold of interneurons in A. fulica (Furukawa and Kobayashi, 1987), whereas the depolarizing PSPs disappeared in this solution (Fig. 4B). In such conditions, unitary IPSPs can be observed in B10. The IPSPs in B10 followed the action potentials of B1 in a 1:1 manner with a constant latency of approximately 30 ms. The inhibitory connection between B1 and B10 thus appears to be monosynaptic. The depolarizing PSPs may be produced via activation of the buccal rhythm generator, which is excited by B1 firing (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1992).

Serotonergic modulation of protractor contraction

An identified serotonergic modulatory neuron, v-CDN, has an enhancing effect on the contraction of the radula retractor evoked by a cholinergic motoneuron B4 in A. fulica (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1991). In the present study, a similar enhancing effect of v-CDN was also found in the B10–protractor system. Firing of v-CDN enhanced the contraction of the radula protractor evoked by B10 firing.
(Fig. 5A). In the central ganglia, B10 received slow and long-lasting inhibitory input from v-CDN (Fig. 5B).

To determine the site of action of v-CDN in enhancing the muscle contraction, we examined the effect of serotonin, which is a putative transmitter of the neuron, on the muscle contraction evoked by application of ACh. As shown in Fig. 5C, serotonin enhanced the ACh-induced contraction of the radula protractor. This suggests that v-CDN enhances the muscle contraction, at least in part, by affecting the muscle fibers directly.

Peptidergic modulation of protractor contraction

Localization of ACEP-1-like immunoreactive substance

Fig. 6 shows immunoreactivity of the buccal ganglia to the polyclonal antibody raised against ACEP-1. A pair of immunoreactive cells (arrows), each about 60 μm in cell body diameter, was found to be located in the same position as that of B10 neurons. To confirm that ACEP-1-like immunoreactive neurons were indeed B10s, immunostaining of the preparation was performed after physiological identification and intracellular staining of B10. Fig. 7Ai,ii shows the cell body and axon of a B10 neuron stained intracellularly with Lucifer Yellow after the cell had been physiologically identified by testing the direct excitatory innervation to the radula protractor. Fig. 7Bi,ii shows the ACEP-1-like immunoreactivity of the same regions as those in Fig. 7Ai,ii, thus confirming that a physiologically identified B10 contains an ACEP-1-like substance. In all preparations tested (N=4), B10 showed ACEP-1-like immunoreactivity. In buccal nerve 2, which innervates the radula protractor and retractor, the axon of B10 showed ACEP-1-like immunoreactivity (Fig. 7Aii, Bii).

Fig. 8A shows ACEP-1-like immunoreactivity in the radula protractor. Many neural fibers and terminal-like structures contain ACEP-1-like immunoreactive substance. B10 is the only neuron, among all the cells so far examined, that shows both an innervation to the radula protractor and ACEP-1-like immunoreactivity. Thus, the neural fibers and the terminals showing ACEP-1-like immunoreactivity in the radula protractor are likely to be those of the B10 neuron.

From conventional electron microscopical observation, many neuronal elements in the radula protractor were found to contain two types of vesicles, clear and dense-cored vesicles (not shown). Intracellular localization of ACEP-1-like substance was examined by using the protein-A–gold method. In this process, to prevent the reduction of antigenicity of the ACEP-1-like substance, post-fixation with OsO₄ was not carried out, so the membrane structure of the immunostained section is not clearly visible. Nevertheless, by comparing the immunostained sections with sections processed by conventional electron microscopic procedure, the structures marked by arrows and arrowheads in Fig. 8B apparently correspond to the dense-cored vesicles (arrows) and clear vesicles (arrowheads) observed in conventional sections. Fig. 8B shows the neuronal elements in the radula protractor. Gold particles are specifically observed in dense-cored vesicles, indicating that ACEP-1-like material is localized in these vesicles, but not in the clear vesicles, which probably contain ACh.
Modulation of buccal muscle contraction

Fig. 3. (A) Effect of $3 \times 10^{-5}\text{ mol l}^{-1}$ propantheline (Prop) on contraction of the radula protractor in response to B10 stimulation (30 Hz, 1 s). Propantheline was applied to the muscle by perfusion for 5 min before the recording was made. (B) Effect of $3 \times 10^{-5}\text{ mol l}^{-1}$ propantheline on the B10-evoked EJP of the radula protractor. Each trace is an average of 15 EJPs evoked at 5 s intervals. Propantheline was applied to the muscle through a small pipette placed adjacent to the muscle. (C) Effect of $10^{-5}\text{ mol l}^{-1}$ propantheline on contraction of the radula protractor in response to $10^{-5}\text{ mol l}^{-1}$ acetylcholine (ACh). Propantheline was applied to the muscle by perfusion for 3 min before the recording was made.

**Effects of ACEP-1 on the radula protractor**

Fig. 9A shows enhanced contractions of the radula protractor in the presence of ACEP-1. Muscle contractions, induced by artificially evoked bursts of B10 with constant interburst intervals, were markedly enhanced when the muscle compartment was perfused with $10^{-8}\text{ mol l}^{-1}$ ACEP-1. The contractions returned to normal levels after washing the muscle with saline. ACEP-1 itself did not cause contraction of the radula protractor when it was applied to the muscle (Fig. 9A). The threshold concentration of ACEP-1 was about $10^{-9}\text{ mol l}^{-1}$. The variation of the B10 spike size seen in Fig. 9A is due to difficulty in balancing the deflection caused by current injection from the recording electrode. Nevertheless, the frequency of evoked spikes can be precisely controlled. The interspike activity of B10 seen in Fig. 9A consists of synaptic inputs that did not cause action potentials in B10 during the recording period.

Fig. 9B shows the intracellularly recorded EJPs of the protractor evoked by B10 firing before, during and after the application of ACEP-1 to the muscle. While the EJPs were monitored, the peptide was applied to a small area of the muscle from a fine pipette placed adjacent to the muscle. The concentration of the peptide at the recording region was probably lower than that in the pipette, since the rest of the muscle was continuously perfused with saline. B10-evoked EJPs in the protractor were apparently increased by ACEP-1 (Fig. 9B). Enhancement of the muscle contraction by ACEP-1, therefore, appears to be at least in part the result of the enlarged B10-evoked EJPs in the presence of the peptide.

To reveal the site of action of ACEP-1, we tested the effect
of the peptide on the contraction of the radula protractor induced by direct application of ACh to the muscle. As shown in Fig. 9C, ACEP-1 did not affect the tension of ACh-evoked contraction of the muscle. This result suggests that the enhancing effect of the peptide is mainly mediated presynaptically.

Discussion

The enhancing action of serotonergic v-CDN on the contraction of the radula retractor has been reported previously (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1991). In the present study, we found that the contraction of the antagonistic muscle, the radula protractor, is similarly enhanced by the same neuron. Thus, the B10-evoked contraction of the radula protractor seems to be modulated by the postsynaptic action of serotonin released from v-CDN. Since the firing of v-CDN itself does not directly cause contraction of either muscle, enhancement of the contraction of one muscle by v-CDN during the buccal rhythm does not prevent the relaxation of the antagonist. When powerful and effective rasping movement is needed, the force and duration of radula retraction required would be larger than those of protraction. The excitatory connection of v-CDN with retractor motoneuron B4 strengthens and extends the activity in the radula retraction phase (Yoshida and Kobayashi, 1991). The slow and long-lasting inhibitory effect of v-CDN on B10 (Fig. 5B) may shorten the burst duration of B10 during the radula protraction phase, resulting in a shortening of the duration of protractor contraction compared with retractor contraction; at the same time, v-CDN increases the tension of the protractor. Simultaneous in vivo monitoring of radular movement and v-CDN activity is required to reveal the precise role of v-CDN in an intact animal.

Many lines of evidence have emerged showing that neuropeptides modulate muscle contraction at the level of identified neuromuscular synapses in molluscs (Zoran et al. 1989; Kupfermann, 1991; Weiss et al. 1992). In Aplysia californica, several kinds of peptides released from identified cholinergic motoneurons modulate buccal muscle contraction (Cropper et al. 1987, 1988; Whim and Lloyd, 1990). One of these peptides, buccalin, is believed to act at the presynaptic terminal of the motoneuron, from which the peptide is released, decreasing the size of EJPs elicited by the motoneuron (Cropper et al. 1988). In contrast, ACEP-1 in A. fulica appears to act on
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Since B10 is the only neuron that has, so far, been shown to innervate the radula protractor and to have ACEP-1-like immunoreactivity, it is possible that an ACEP-1-like substance, released from B10, affects neuromuscular...
transmission. Electron microscopical evidence, indicating the localization of the ACEP-1-like immunoreactivity in dense-cored vesicles within the neural element of the radula protractor, supports this hypothesis. Clear vesicles co-existing with the dense-cored vesicles may contain the main excitatory transmitter ACh. If this were the case, the peptide modulator packed in its separate vesicles may well show a different pattern of release from that of ACh, depending on the state of activity of the motoneuron. In the buccal system of *Aplysia californica*, Whim and Lloyd (1989) have shown that the release of the peptide modulator co-existing with ACh in an identified motoneuron is dependent on the firing frequency of the neuron.

From the evidence presented in this study, it is not possible
to conclude that the ACEP-1-like substance detected immunocytochemically in B10 is ACEP-1 itself. Nevertheless, since exogenously applied ACEP-1 enhanced B10-evoked muscle contraction at a very low concentration (threshold concentration $10^{-9}\text{ mol}^{-1}$), it is possible that ACEP-1 itself does have a modulatory role in the regulation of buccal muscle contraction in the intact animal.

Aminergic and peptidergic modulation of muscle contraction has also been extensively investigated in various systems in invertebrates other than molluscs (O’Shea and Evans, 1979; Kravitz et al. 1980; Fischer and Florey, 1983; Evans and Myers, 1986; Whim and Evans, 1988). Adams and O’Shea (1983) have described the release and action of a peptide cotransmitter at an identified neuromuscular junction in the cockroach, while the structure and function of crustacean neuropeptides have been reviewed from a comparative point of view by Keller (1992).

It appears that cotransmission mediated by multiple substances is a common feature of nervous systems in both vertebrates and invertebrates. However, investigation of cotransmission in vertebrates at the level of identified neurons is not easy because of the limited accessibility of the preparations used in much of the research. The identified neuromuscular synapse of A. fulica should provide a useful system for investigating the physiological functions of cotransmission.
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