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Summary

The transport of cationic amino acids across animal cell membranes is largely mediated by a small group of well-described transport systems (y+, b0,+). Only recently have genes encoding transport proteins in some of these systems been isolated. Two genes, mCAT-1 and mCAT-2, encode related multiple membrane-spanning proteins that share substantial amino acid sequence identity and virtually superimposable hydrophilicity profiles. mCAT-1 and mCAT-2 proteins expressed in Xenopus oocytes are functionally indistinguishable and similar to transport system y+, but have distinct tissue distribution patterns. mCAT-1 expression is nearly ubiquitous and produces a single protein, while mCAT-2 is highly tissue-specific, has two distinct protein isoforms encoded by a single gene and is expressed in different tissues using at least two widely separated promotors. All three proteins facilitate the ion-independent transport of arginine, lysine and ornithine. Both mCAT-1 and mCAT-2 proteins have low amino acid sequence similarity but strikingly similar hydrophilicity profiles with amino acid antiporters, uniporters and symporters of yeast, fungi and eubacteria. Current work will elucidate whether any of the mCAT proteins interact with members of a newly identified family of single membrane-spanning proteins, such as rBAT, 4F2 and NAA-Tr, which are thought to modulate or activate y+L and/or b0,+ transport systems.

Introduction

Two major types of proteins have been identified that mediate the transport of cationic amino acids. In this paper, we review the mCAT (murine Cation Amino Acid Transporter) genes and their protein products (MacLeod et al. 1990a; Kim et al. 1991; Wang et al. 1991; Kakuda et al. 1993; Closs et al. 1993a). The mCAT proteins have striking structural similarity with the well-characterized multiple membrane-spanning transporters of eubacteria and lower eukaryotes (Reizer et al. 1993). These mammalian transporters show properties highly similar, but not identical, to transport system y+. They carry cationic amino acids in a Na+-independent manner, but require Na+ as a cosubstrate for the transport of zwitterionic amino acids. In this review, we have adopted Van Winkle’s proposed terminology that confines the term transport system to designate a functionally distinct membrane transport process and employs the term transporter to denote a protein, usually expressed from a cloned gene, that catalyzes amino acid transport across a biomembrane (Van Winkle, 1993).
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A distinct group of proteins modulating amino acid transport are predicted to have a different structure, only a single membrane-spanning domain (reviewed Palacín, 1994; Bertran et al. 1992a,b; Wells and Hediger, 1992; Tate et al. 1992; Pickel et al. 1993; Hediger et al. 1993; Markovich et al. 1993). One of them was recently shown to play a crucial role in the heritable transport disease cystinuria (Calonge et al. 1994). It is possible that transporter proteins such as the mCATs can associate with other proteins and/or ions or other substrates for effective transport.

Serendipitous discovery of cDNAs encoding mCAT transporters

Subtraction-differential screening (MacLeod et al. 1990b) was employed to identify cDNA clones from two closely related lymphoma cell lines (MacLeod et al. 1984, 1985) and resulted in the isolation of several novel cDNAs. One of the novel genes was named Tea, because it is induced early in the response of normal T cells to mitogens (MacLeod et al. 1990a). The cDNA sequence revealed significant homology with only one protein, also derived from mouse, that was isolated on the basis of its capacity to function as an ecotropic retroviral receptor (ERR, Albritton et al. 1989). The natural function of neither gene was known, although both shared low amino acid sequence similarity and considerable predicted structural similarities with bacterial and yeast amino acid transporter proteins (Reizer et al. 1993). Fig. 1 shows an unrooted phylogenetic tree of the mCAT proteins and selected well-characterized yeast and eubacterial transporters. The similarity prompted three independent research groups to test the possible transport function of the proteins (Kim et al. 1991; Wang et al. 1991; Kakuda et al. 1993).

The function of the mCAT-2 gene product was investigated by examining Xenopus oocytes expressing mCAT-2 protein (Kakuda et al. 1993). The oocytes were assayed via a two-microelectrode voltage-clamp method at −60 mV, monitoring for changes in current resulting from specific amino acid transport. Significant saturable inward currents were detected with lysine, arginine, ornithine and histidine, while minor currents were observed with cysteine, leucine and homoserine. The small signal obtained with the latter amino acids requires further investigation. None of the other common amino acids used in protein synthesis nor MeAIB elicited significant transport (Fig. 2). mCAT-2-mediated transport is stereospecific for the L-isomers of arginine, lysine and ornithine and is Na+-independent. In contrast, the dipolar substrate (tested with homoserine) transport requires Na+. In all these properties, the mCAT-2 transport characteristics are highly consistent with those of the y+ transport system originally described by Christensen and Antonioli (1969) and White and Christensen (1982a,b). A direct comparison of the transport properties of mCAT-2 and mCAT-1 reveals no significant differences, as shown in Table 1 (Kakuda et al. 1993; Closs et al. 1993a).

The function of mCAT-1 was established before that of mCAT-2 and was assessed both by voltage-clamp methods (Wang et al. 1991) and by measuring radiolabeled influx of amino acids (Kim et al. 1991) with results highly similar to those obtained for mCAT-2. Discrepancies in $K_m$ values were noted (Kakuda et al. 1993) and recently resolved (Closs et al. 1993a; Table 1).
The mCAT-2 gene encodes two distinct proteins

Using an mCAT-2 probe from our laboratory, Cunningham and his colleagues identified a variant isoform of mCAT-2 (mCAT-2a) from a murine liver cDNA library (Closs et al. 1993a). The truncated clones are identical to mCAT-2 over their length but contain a 123 base pair unique segment within the coding sequence. This fragment has been postulated to arise from alternate splicing of mCAT-2 mRNA during processing. Both alternately spliced segments encode highly similar amino acid sequences of either 40 or 41 amino acids (see below). Although no clones containing the entire coding sequence were obtained, usable clones were derived for cRNA production and expression studies in Xenopus oocytes by ligating mCAT-2 sequences from our clone or by reverse transcription/polymerase chain reaction and ligation (Closs et al. 1993a,b). The two
CAT-2 proteins are nearly identical (97%) but differ significantly in their substrate affinity. Table 1 shows that the mCAT-2 and mCAT-1 proteins have a tenfold higher substrate affinity than mCAT-2a. In a nice series of experiments, Closs et al. (1993b)
showed that chimeric proteins generated by swapping the relevant mCAT-2a domain with the corresponding mCAT-1 or mCAT-2 regions elicit reductions of their apparent affinities for arginine, providing evidence that this region of the protein is involved in substrate recognition. Although mCAT-2a-mediated transport of radiolabeled arginine is not detectable at concentrations below 100 μmol L⁻¹, arginine uptake is substantially greater than mCAT-1-mediated transport at 3.2 mmol L⁻¹ arginine. Hence, mCAT-2a has properties similar to the previously described low-affinity, high-capacity cationic transport system observed in liver tissue slices (Closs et al. 1993a; White and Christensen, 1982a). A comparison of the isoforms resulting from alternately spliced mCAT-2 RNA is shown in Fig. 3 with the equivalent mCAT-1 sequence.

The differences conferred on the protein by the substitution of these 41 amino acids has no predicted effect on the tertiary structure of the mCAT-2 proteins. In fact, all three proteins have highly similar predicted structures as modelled in Fig. 4. The three computer programs used (Fig. 4, legend) differ in the number of transmembrane domains that they predict: two of the three indicate 14 such domains for mCAT-1. Nevertheless, we chose to illustrate their obvious similarity and to take into account their membership in the APC family of proteins (Reizer et al. 1993), all of which are considered to have 12 membrane-spanning domains (Saier, 1994). None of these proteins contains a leader sequence, suggesting that the amino terminus will be intracellular and one or more of the hydrophobic regions may function as internal ‘signal’ sequences (Saier et al. 1989).

**Expression of mCAT-1 and mCAT-2 RNAs in murine tissues**

The striking similarity in the predicted structure of the two proteins and their highly similar amino acid transport properties prompted an analysis of their expression in the organs and tissues of normal mice. mCAT-1 mRNA is present in all murine tissues examined with the exception of liver (Kakuda et al. 1993). The absence of mCAT-1 expression in liver is supported by the failure of ecotropic retroviruses to infect this tissue (Closs et al. 1992). Among the 15 tissues tested, liver is unique in expressing only mCAT-2. Several organs, e.g. skeletal muscle, stomach, skin, brain, lung and uterus, express both mCAT-1 and mCAT-2 transcripts; conceivably, but not necessarily, within two distinct cell types (Kakuda et al. 1993). Indeed, activated lymphocytes express both

---

**Fig. 3.** A comparison of the protein isoforms from alternately spliced mCAT-2 RNA with the equivalent mCAT-1 sequence. Asterisks indicate sequences that are identical in all three proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mCAT-1</th>
<th>M FFMPRVYAM AEDGLLKFL AKINRTKTP VIATVTSGA IA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mCAT-2</td>
<td>I FFMPRVYAM AEDGLLKCL AQINSKTKTP VIATLSSGA VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCAT-2a</td>
<td>M FPLPRILFAM ARDGLFRFL ARV-SKRQSP VAATMTAGV IS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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genes, whereas quiescent lymphocytes express only mCAT-1 RNA (K. Finley, M. Maruyama, A. Barrieux and C. L. MacLeod, in preparation). The tissues with relatively high levels of mCAT-2/2a (skeletal muscle, stomach, skin, brain and lung) may express the high-affinity isoform of mCAT-2 (Kakuda et al. 1993), whereas liver expresses the low-affinity mCAT-2a isoform mRNA (Closs et al. 1993a). Some tissues, including large and small intestine and kidney, express no detectable mCAT-2 transcripts. Several human tissues were also tested and found to express the genes in the same pattern found in mouse (Finley, 1993).

Fig. 4. Model of proposed structure of mCAT proteins in the plasma membrane. A proposed model for the membrane association of mCAT-1 (A) and mCAT-2/2a (B) proteins was derived using the PC Gene suite of programs, SOAP, Helixemem and RaoArgos, from IntelliGenetics after taking into consideration known evolutionary relationships within the APC family of transporters (see Fig. 1) as well as the conserved nature of structural features. For these reasons, they are modeled as proteins containing 12 transmembrane domains, although the hydrophilicity profiles (on the right) and putative hydrophobic transmembrane domains also predict 14 membrane-spanning regions for mCAT-1 (Albritton et al. 1989). The viral binding site (Albritton et al. 1993) was positioned extracellularly. The ‘positive-inside rule’ was applied by placing positively charged amino acids inside the cell (von Heijne, 1992).
Human quiescent T-cells have a limited capacity to transport lysine and do so, in part, via both the $y^+$ and the $y^+L$ transport systems (Devés et al. 1992). The transport of amino acids by both systems increases in activated T-cells; the increase in $y^+$ transport activity corresponds to the kinetics of mCAT-2 mRNA induction (Boyd and Crawford, 1992; MacLeod et al. 1990a). Replicating hepatoma cells and cultured hepatocytes express both mCAT-1 and mCAT-2 mRNA, although only mCAT-2 is expressed in the intact liver. Souba and coworkers recently demonstrated both high- and low-affinity Na$^+$-independent arginine transport in fresh human liver membranes (Inoue et al. 1993), even though it has been difficult to demonstrate high-affinity $y^+$ transport system activity in fresh liver slices from rodents (White and Christensen, 1982b). In regenerating liver, mCAT-1 mRNA is not present when the cells are replicating, and there was no significant change in mCAT-2 expression following hepatectomy (Kakuda et al. 1993). Unexpectedly, skeletal muscle mCAT-2 mRNA increased substantially (8.7-fold) 1 day following partial hepatectomy and, to a lesser but significant extent, after splenectomy and fasting. These physiological manipulations elicited no alteration in the amount of mCAT mRNA in a non-striated muscle, the uterus. Taken together, it appears that mCAT-2 is under multifactorial regulation and in the conditions tested mCAT-1 mRNA is unaltered. This multifactorial regulation of mCAT-2 may reflect its requirement for transport of cationic amino acids, present in most cells at a low and steady level, but physiological stress may require regulated expression of transport (Finley, 1993; K. Finley, M. Maruyama, A. Barrieux and C. L. MacLeod, in preparation).

The mCAT-2 gene appears to be expressed and/or induced in precisely those tissues that also express the inducible form of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS; Bandeletova et al. 1993; Liu et al. 1993), the enzyme which generates NO from arginine and oxygen (for a review, see Moncada and Higgs, 1993). The mCAT-2 gene is different from mCAT-1 in that mCAT-1 encodes a single protein (L. M. Albritton, personal communication) with expression characteristics of a ‘housekeeping’ gene: it is constitutively expressed almost ubiquitously and is not (or is only minimally) induced under conditions that significantly increase mCAT-2 transcription (K. Finley, M. Maruyama, A. Barrieux and C. L. MacLeod, in preparation). The mCAT-2 proteins may play roles that are unique to cells involved in protecting the whole organism against pathogens and tumor cells, e.g. macrophages, lymphocytes and liver in which arginine metabolism plays a central role and mCAT-2/2a is expressed in a regulated manner (Nussler and Billiar, 1993; Billiar et al. 1991; Baydoun et al. 1993; Wilkinson et al. 1991).

**mCAT-2 mRNAs have multiple 5’ termini**

Investigation of the mCAT-2 transcription start site led to the isolation of four clones containing the entire coding sequence, but the DNA sequences differed in 5’ untranslated regions (UTRs). They diverged 16 base pairs 5’ of the initiation methionine codon (Fig. 5). Twenty-four independent clones sequenced in this region revealed four types of 5’ UTRs summarized in Fig. 5. The two forms of B sequences result from alternate splicing. DNA sequencing of genomic clones immediately 5’ of the putative splice
junction revealed a typical splice acceptor site upstream of exon 2 (Fig. 5). A single initiation codon is located in the sequence common to all clones, indicated by the arrow in Fig. 5 (K. Finley, A. Barrieux, J. Kleeman, P. Huynh and C. L. MacLeod, in preparation).

\[ \text{mCAT-2 gene, the arrangement of the 5' UTR exons and the first coding exon (exon 2).} \]

The genomic organization of the \textit{mCAT-2} gene is complex and contains two promotors with highly distinct properties (Finley, 1993). Sequences upstream of exon 1b contain a classic TAATA promoter. The region surrounding exon 1a contains no TAATA box but it is GC-rich, has two CAC boxes and two high-affinity SP1 binding sites; features commonly found in the promoter region of TAATA-less genes. Exons 1b and 1c are always expressed together and no promoter motifs are apparent around exon 1c. Hence, \textit{mCAT-2} transcription is initiated at the promoters present upstream of exons 1a and 1b and at one as yet unidentified site within the \textit{mCAT-2} gene (K. Finley, A. Barrieux, J. Kleeman, P. Huynh and C. L. MacLeod, in preparation). A genomic clone containing the exon for isoform D has not yet been isolated.

\[ \text{mCAT-2 is transcribed from alternate promotors} \]

Fig. 6 shows a sketch of the genomic organization of the \textit{mCAT-2} gene, the arrangement of the 5' UTR exons and the first coding exon (exon 2). The region illustrated on the right contains the entire coding sequence. The genomic organization of the \textit{mCAT-2} gene is complex and contains two promotors with highly distinct properties (Finley, 1993). Sequences upstream of exon 1b contain a classic TAATA promoter. The region surrounding exon 1a contains no TAATA box but it is GC-rich, has two CAC boxes and two high-affinity SP1 binding sites; features commonly found in the promoter region of TAATA-less genes. Exons 1b and 1c are always expressed together and no promoter motifs are apparent around exon 1c. Hence, \textit{mCAT-2} transcription is initiated at the promoters present upstream of exons 1a and 1b and at one as yet unidentified site within the \textit{mCAT-2} gene (K. Finley, A. Barrieux, J. Kleeman, P. Huynh and C. L. MacLeod, in preparation). A genomic clone containing the exon for isoform D has not yet been isolated.
**How system y+ may concentrate substrates intracellularly**

Many transport systems take advantage of the Na+ chemical gradient to cotransport substrates. This cotransport can lead to the accumulation of the substrate against its concentration gradient in cells. By contrast, system y+ does not require Na+ for the transport of cationic amino acids (White and Christensen, 1982a). These charged molecules can be concentrated within cells in the absence of evidence for symport or antiport mechanisms by using the negative resting membrane potential (Kavanaugh, 1993). The voltage gradient serves as a driving force for the influx of positively charged molecules, such as cationic amino acids, as discussed in Gerenscer and Stevens (1994).

This proposed model would explain the observation that the concentration of cationic amino acids is generally higher inside, than outside, most cells (with the notable exception of liver and kidney, where arginase activity is high).

Kavanaugh (1993) has recently shown that changes in the membrane potential can contribute to differences in apparent $K_m$ and $I_{\text{max}}$ (maximum current) for mCAT-1 expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. His results are consistent with the conclusion that hyperpolarization leads to an increase in the rate constants for the transition of the substrate-bound transporter from the extracellular to the intracellular side of the membrane and for the return of the unbound site to the extracellular side of the membrane. Hence, the membrane potential may participate in the regulation of cationic amino acid transport. The steady-state distribution of arginine in mammalian cells indicates that influx is favored. This may result from the asymmetric recognition of substrates on either side of the membrane, since higher substrate affinity and rate of transport from the extracellular side of the membrane occur. The use of the analogue 4-amino-1-guanylpiperidine-4-carboxylic acid (GPA) demonstrates differences in substrate recognition depending upon the interior or exterior location of the substrate with respect to the membrane (White and Christensen, 1982b). Their data show that GPA inhibits lysine influx, but not efflux, and that extracellular GPA $\text{trans}$-stimulates the efflux of intracellular homoarginine, but not of GPA. Conversely, intracellular loading with GPA fails to induce $\text{trans}$-stimulated influx of arginine, whereas homoarginine is a strong stimulant (White et al. 1982).

**Trans-stimulation of y+ transport systems**

$\text{Trans}$-stimulation, in which increased intracellular concentrations of substrate stimulate amino acid influx, has been demonstrated for the system y+ (White and Christensen, 1982b). Efflux studies of radiolabeled arginine in *Xenopus* oocytes show that mCAT-1-injected oocytes are most sensitive to arginine $\text{trans}$-stimulation, mCAT-2a-injected oocytes are least sensitive, and mCAT-2-injected oocytes are intermediate sensitive. These apparent differences in $\text{trans}$-stimulation may be explained by the lower $K_m$ value of the mCAT-2a protein for arginine. If the intracellular concentration of arginine were made equal to the $K_m$ values for transport by mCAT-2a, mCAT-2 and mCAT-1, it is possible that the differences in $\text{trans}$-stimulation would be minimized. Chimeric proteins of mCAT-1 substituted with the divergent regions of either mCAT-2 or 2a, or mCAT-2 replaced with the corresponding mCAT-1 region, elicit $\text{trans}$-stimulation
properties associated with the substituted domain (Closs et al. 1993b). These findings indicate that the divergent region of mCAT-2/2a and the corresponding region on mCAT-1 contribute to the trans-stimulation observed in the Xenopus oocyte.

Cationic amino acid transport systems, transporters and accessory proteins

In summary, arginine is one of the three cationic amino acids transported by the functionally defined yt transport system (for a review, see White, 1985; Christensen, 1989). It now appears that the mCAT-1 and mCAT-2 gene products are likely to mediate yt-like transport. The two genes are highly similar in sequence and in structure. They differ in expression; mCAT-1 appears to represent the ‘housekeeping’ constitutively expressed form, whereas mCAT-2 is more restricted in tissue expression and inducible in tissues that also induce nitric oxide synthase (Bandelotova et al. 1993; Liu et al. 1993; K. Finley, M. Maruyama, A. Barrieux and C. L. MacLeod, in preparation).

Although mCAT-1 and mCAT-2 are thought to be the transporters for system yt, other proteins, such as rBAT and 4F2hc, mediate or modulate cationic and zwitterionic amino acid transport in Xenopus oocytes. These cDNAs have been isolated and their transcribed mRNAs expressed in oocytes. The transport properties of these expressed proteins are similar to the transport systems bo,+ and y+L (Van Winkle et al. 1988; Van Winkle, 1992; Devés et al. 1992). The encoded proteins contain only one consensus membrane-spanning domain and, hence, are quite distinct from previously cloned transporters that generally possess multiple membrane-spanning regions. It has been postulated that they function as regulatory subunits, although their precise role in controlling transport and/or the intracellular fate of the amino acids in mammalian cells remains to be established (Bertran et al. 1992a,b; Wells and Hediger, 1992; Pickel et al. 1993; Hediger et al. 1993; Calonge et al. 1994). It is possible that individual transporters could associate with other membrane proteins, such as 4F2hc and/or rBAT, in different tissues to modulate or regulate the influx or efflux of amino acids under different physiological conditions (Van Winkle, 1993). The rBAT protein has recently been shown to contain mutations that are associated with the inheritable disease cystinuria (Calonge et al. 1994). It is possible that the mCAT genes are implicated in lysinuric protein intolerance, since it has been postulated that this disease results from defects in yt-like transport (for a review, see Simell, 1989). It is now possible to determine whether these newly described gene products play a role in amino acid transport defects.
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